



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/520,503 01/03/2005 Rolf Morich 112740-1038 5920 EXAMINER 29177 03/22/2006 7590 BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC HUYNH, NAM TRUNG P. O. BOX 1135 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135 2617

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/520,503	MORICH, ROLF
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nam Huynh	2688
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 January 2005</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/3/05.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/520,503 Page 2

Art Unit: 2688

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andreason (US 2003/0008612) in view of Ogman et al. (US 2003/0186676).
- A. Regarding claims 1-3 and 6-7, Andreason discloses a system in which a stationary telephony terminal is used by a subscriber to connect to a mobile radio telephony network via the subscriber's mobile radio telephone (page 1, paragraph 8). The subscriber has only one telephone number and there is only one set of user data, or "account" information, for the subscriber in the network (page 1, paragraphs 12-13). The stationary telephone terminal and radio telephone are connected to each other by a short range Bluetooth radio link between two transceivers (page 2, paragraph 36). When the mobile comes in range of the Bluetooth transceiver of the stationary terminal,

the mobile receives inquiry signals via its Bluetooth transceiver and sends inquiry response signals back to the stationary terminal. After this process, a master/slave configuration is set up between the two devices where a switch can be utilized to allow either of the two devices to act as a master or slave therefore rendering the "switching... carried out automatically by means of signaling" (page 6, paragraph 76). However, Andreason does not explicitly disclose the use of two mobile communications appliances. Ogman et al. discloses a system and method for sharing cellular communication services among mobile stations comprising two mobile telephones having a common telephone number (page 4, paragraph 36). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to follow the teachings of Ogman et al., and implement two mobile telephones in the invention of Andreason in order to allow the communication between two distinct cellular telephones over two distinct cellular networks employing different protocols.

B. Regarding claims 4-5 and 8-9, Andreason discloses an identification and authentication procedure between the mobile telephone and the stationary terminal (page 5, paragraph 59). Before a subscriber keys for a call on the stationary terminal an authorization must be given (e.g. a PIN-code for the subscriber's mobile telephone) (page 1, paragraph 10).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nam Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-5970. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m.-5 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/520,503 Page 4

Art Unit: 2688

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NTH 3/15/06

GEORGE ENG '
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER